Legal Translation Outsourced

Juliette R. Scott



CONTENTS

| | List of Figures, Tables, and Boxes ix Acknowledgements xiii | |
|----|--|---|
| | Introduction 1 | |
| | I.1. Background 1 | |
| | I.2. Key Definitions 4 | |
| | I.3. Practitioner Research 6 | |
| | I.4. Research Questions 7 | |
| | I.5. Boundaries of the Study 7 | |
| 1. | The Outsourced Legal Translation Environment 8 | |
| | 1.1. The (Legal) Translation Market as an Outsourcing 'Entity' 8 | |
| | 1.1.1. Widespread Outsourcing 9 | |
| | 1.1.2. Cursory Order Specifications 10 | |
| | 1.1.3. Perceived Low Status of Agents 10 | |
| | 1.1.4. Diversity of Agents' Skill Sets 13 | |
| | 1.1.5. Heterogeneous Quality and Quality Standards 14 | |
| | 1.1.6. The Speed of Change 15 | |
| | 1.1.7. Complex Actor Interactions in the Outsourced Legal Translation | |
| | Market: A Representation of the Chain of Supply 16 | |
| | 1.2. Agency Theory as a Lens to Research the Outsourced Legal Translatio | n |
| | Market 21 | |
| | 1.2.1. The Suitability of the Theory 21 | |
| | 1.2.2. How the Concept of Agency Has So Far Been Applied in | |
| | Translation Studies 22 | |
| | 1.2.3. Goal Congruence and Functionalism 23 | |
| | 1.2.4. Sources of Risk 25 | |
| | 1.2.5. Regulating Mechanisms and Balance of Power 29 | |
| 2. | Facets of Legal Translation Performance 31 | |
| | 2.1. Negotiating between Languages 31 | |
| | 2.1.1. Translation 'Equivalence' 32 | |
| | 2.1.2. Legal Language/Discourse(s) 33 | |
| | 2.1.3. Legal Linguistic Features 34 | |
| | 2.1.4. The Equivocal Nature of Legal Discourse Leading | |
| | to Language Risk 37 | |

2.1.5. The Potential Risks of Plain Language 38

| 2. | 2. | Negotiating between Legal Systems 39 |
|------|------|---|
| | | 2.2.1. Asymmetry between Legal Systems, Areas of Law, Legal Concepts, |
| | | and Terms 39 |
| | | 2.2.2. Conveying Appropriate Levels of Legal Equivalence 41 |
| 2. | .3. | Negotiating between Genres and Subgenres 42 |
| | | 2.3.1. Genre-Aware Translation Performance 42 |
| | | 2.3.2. Classifications/Taxonomies of the Legal Macrogenre 43 |
| 2 | .4. | Addressing Purpose 48 |
| | | 2.4.1. The Suitability of a Functionalist Approach to Legal Translation |
| | | Performance 48 |
| | | 2.4.2. Differentiation of Receivership and Differentiation of Status 49 |
| | | 2.4.3. Specifying Levels of Covertness for Legal Translations 50 |
| 2 | 2.5. | The Tesseract of the Legal Translator's Textual Agency 52 |
| 3. C | on | straints on the Outsourced Legal Translation Process 56 |
| 3 | 3.1. | Constraints on Legal Translation Performance Arising Upstream of the |
| | | Translator's Intervention 56 |
| | | 3.1.1. Expectancy Norms 58 |
| | | 3.1.2. Failures to Apply Quality Norms to the Source Text 59 |
| | | 3.1.3. Ethical Norms 60 |
| 3 | 3.2. | 'In-performance' Constraints Affecting Legal Translation 61 |
| | | 3.2.1. Intentional Ambiguity 61 |
| | | 3.2.2. Systemic and Conceptual Constraints 64 |
| | | 3.2.3. Generic Integrity 65 |
| | | 3.2.4. Intertextual and Interdiscursive Constraints 65 |
| | | 3.2.5. Purpose-Related Constraints 66 |
| | | 3.2.6. Relational Impediments 72 |
| | 3.3 | . Constraints Downstream: Performance Assessment and Liability 76 |
| | | 3.3.1. The Benchmark of Fitness-for-Purpose 76 |
| | | 3.3.2. Other Quality Standards 78 |
| | | 3.3.3. The Translator's Liability 78 |
| : | 3.4 | Logistical Constraints 79 |
| 4. | A C | Comprehensive Legal Translation Brief 81 |
| • | 4.1 | . Relevant Literature 82 |
| | | 4.1.1. The Translation Brief as Proposed by Nord 82 |
| | | 4.1.2. Briefing as Viewed by Other Scholars 84 |
| | 4.2 | 2. Briefing and Outsourcing in Practice 85 |
| | | 4.2.1. Briefing in Related and Comparable Business Sectors 85 |
| | | 4.2.2. Market Initiatives on Translator Briefing from Professional Bodies |
| | | and Individuals 87 |

4.2.3. Legal Translation Procurement Initiatives by Service Provision

Intermediaries and by Institutions, Including Differentiation 90

| 4.3. Relational Aspects of Briefing 91 | |
|--|----|
| 4.3.1. The Legal Translation Practitioner as a Hub 91 | |
| 4.3.2. Relational Agency and Proactive Behaviour to Stimulate Information Flows 94 | |
| 4.3.3. Levels of Interpretive Autonomy 96 | |
| 4.4. Towards a Tailored Brief for the Legal Translation Context 98 | |
| | |
| 5. A Triangulated Survey of the Outsourcing of Legal Translations to Externa | 1l |
| Practitioners 103 | |
| 5.1. Research Paradigms and Methodology 103 | |
| 5.2. Scope and Environment 106 | |
| 5.3. Data Collection 107 | |
| 5.2.1 Samon Design 107 | |

- 5.3.1. Survey Design 107
- 5.3.2. Access to Participants 111
- 5.3.3. The Data-Gathering Stage 113
- 5.4. Data-Analysis Methods 113
- 5.5. Presentation of Data Collected 117
 - 5.5.1. Demographics 117
 - 5.5.2. Briefing Process 122
 - 5.5.3. Performance of Outsourced Legal Translation 143
- 5.6. Summary of Survey Findings 173
- 5.7. A Briefing Template for Practitioners 176

Conclusions 179

- C.1. Outsourced Legal Translation in situ: The Chain of Supply and Its Implications 180
- C.2. The Need for Comprehensive Briefing 180
- C.3. The Multifaceted Nature of the Legal Translator's Textual Agency 181
- C.4. Unravelling Legal Translation Performance Constraints 181
- C.5. Fitness-for-Purpose: Meeting Expressed Requirements 182
- C.6. Professionalization and Empowerment
- C.7. Insights into Text Types Outsourced for Translation 183
- C.8. Avenues for Future Research
- C.9. Concluding Statements 185

Afterword 187 Bibliography 189 Index 211