A Study of Personal and Cultural Values

American, Japanese, and Vietnamese

Roy D'Andrade



Contents

Lis	t of Figures and Tables	ix
Acknowledgments Introduction—The Initial Puzzle The Conceptual Framework 		xi
1	Introduction—The Initial Puzzle	1
2	The Conceptual Framework	7
3	Questionnaire Construction	13
4	The Three Society Study	21
5	The Organization of Values	27
6	Similarities and Differences	51
7	The Americans	61
8	The Vietnamese	77
9	The Japanese	101
10	Institutionalized Values	121
Appendix		143
Bibliography		161
Ind	lex	169

List of Figures and Tables

Figures

2.1	Senses of the term <i>value</i>	11
5.1	Principal components analysis of 58 values clusters:	
	combined American, Japanese and Vietnamese data	u 40
5.2	Recomputed smallest space analysis 70 cultural	
	groups (recomputed from Schwartz [2002])	42
5.3	Correspondence analysis of political issues:	
	selected data from Ornstein et al. (1988)	46
6.1	Value cluster means for Americans,	
	Japanese, and Vietnamese	52
7.1	Scatter plot for Americans and Japanese	
	328 value items	62
7.2	Comparison of Americans to Three Society	
	average for 58 value clusters	65
7.3	Comparison of Americans to the	
	"Typical American" for 58 value clusters	69
7.4	American Sample: gender, SES, and	
	religion by <i>individualism/collectivism</i> and	
	altruism/self-interest	74
7.5	Correspondence analysis of Rokeach	
	values by religion	75
8.1	Comparison of Vietnamese to Three Society	
	average on 58 cluster	81
8.2	Vietnamese sample: gender, religion, and	
	generation by <i>individualism/collectivism</i>	
	and <i>altrusim/self-interest</i>	93
8.3	Vietnamese and American NEO facet means	96
9.1	Comparison with Japanese to Three Society	
	average for 58 value clusters	103
10.1	Correspondence analysis of roles and	
	selected value items: American data	128
10.2	Correspondence analysis of 18 evaluative scales	
	and 6 concepts	134
10.3	Three loci of value	140

Tables

3.1	Kelinger format for Likert scales	15
3.2	Principal components analysis of 12 scales	
	across 20 items	17
3.3	Principal components analysis (unrotated) of 24 rating	
	scales across 10 items (54 UCSD students' correlations	
	computed across concepts and respondents)	19
5.1	Principal components analysis of 58 value clusters	34
6.1	Comparison between Schwartz value data and	
	American, Japanese, and Vietnamese value data	58
7.1	Religious denominations: American sample	62
7.2	Top 10 of 328 value items: Americans,	
	Japanese, and Vietnamese	63
7.3	Top 20 average correlations with average for <i>market</i>	
	competition, capitalism, and economic growth	66
7.4	American mean ratings for the <i>religion</i> cluster	70
7.5	Religiosity: Virginia sample	72
8.1	Top twenty correlations with going along with	
	group decisions	82
8.2	Top twenty correlations with <i>finding meaning in life</i>	83
8.3	Top twenty correlations with <i>having a closeknit family</i>	85
8.4	Correlations between NEO and values—	
	Vietnamese data	99
9.1	Top 20 correlations with <i>promoting the values</i>	
	of my culture	104
9.2	Top 20 correlations with <i>thinking well of myself</i>	105
10.1	Intercorrelations between five methods of measuring	
	the values of science plus the NORC sample	124
10.2	Top 8 value items (ratings 0 to 4) for 6	
	occupational roles	132
10.3	Top $\hat{8}$ value items (ratings 0 to 4) for self	
	and intimate roles	132