

Antonio A. Romano • Giuseppe Scandurra
Alfonso Carfora • Monica Ronghi

Climate Finance as an Instrument to Promote the Green Growth in Developing Countries

 Springer

Contents

1	Introduction	1
1.1	Introduction and Background	1
	References	5
2	Climate Change	7
2.1	Evolution and Path	7
2.2	Natural Greenhouse Effect	8
2.3	The Feedback Concept	13
2.4	Enhanced Greenhouse Effect	14
2.5	Adaptation and Mitigation	19
	References	20
3	Climate Finance	23
3.1	From Rio de Janeiro to Marrakech: a Brief Summary	23
3.2	Fast Start Finance	27
3.3	The Framework to Assess the Climate Action	29
3.4	Analysis of Flow of Funds from Donors to Recipients Countries	31
	3.4.1 Commitment vs. Disbursement	32
	3.4.2 Geographical Distribution of Climate Funds	38
	3.4.3 Disbursement and GHG Emissions	43
	References	47
4	Assessing the Effectiveness of Climate Finance: Composite Indicators and Quantile Regression	49
4.1	Introduction	49
4.2	Composite Indicator: An Introduction	50
	4.2.1 A Composite Indicator for GHG Emissions: The Environmental Pollution Index	54
4.3	Quantile Regression with Cluster Data	56
	References	59

5 Empirical Study of Climate Finance	61
5.1 Data	61
5.2 Research Hypotheses	65
5.3 A Composite Indicator for Environmental Performance	66
5.4 Distribution of Climate Funds: A Way to Combat Environmental Degradation?	68
References	78
6 Conclusions and Policy Implications	81
References	84
Appendices	85
Appendix A	85
Plots and Quantile Regression Using R and Stata Commands	85
References	119
Appendix B	120

Abbreviations

UNFCCC	United Nations Framework Convention on Climate Change
GHG	Greenhouse gas emissions
COP	Conference of the Parties
USD	US Dollar
SIDS	Small Island Developing States
LDCs	Least developed countries
GCF	Green Climate Fund
OECD	Organization for Economic Cooperation and Development
EIT	Economies in transition
GEF	Global Environment Facility
CIFs	Climate Investment Funds
AF	Adaptation Fund
UNDP	United Nations Development Programme
UNEP	United Nations Environment Programme
NGOs	Non-governmental organizations
RES	Renewable energy sources
ODA	Official development assistance
DAC	Development Assistance Committee
OOF	Other official flows
CP3	Climate Public Private Partnership
GNI	Gross national income
EPI	Environmental Pollution Index
JICA	Japan International Cooperation Agency
JPP	Japan Partnership Program
CAIT	Climate Analysis Indicators Tool
GDP	Gross domestic product
CO ₂	Carbon dioxide
IEA	International Energy Agency
CH ₄	Methane

N ₂ O	Nitrous oxide
CFCs	Chlorofluorocarbons
HCFCs	Hydrochlorofluorocarbons
HFCs	Hydrofluorocarbons
PFCs	Perfluorocarbons
SF ₆	Sulfur hexafluoride
CI	Composite indicators
BOD	Benefit of the doubt
MPI	Mazziotta-Pareto index
EW	Equal weighting
PCA	Principal component analysis
PCs	Principal components
LAD	Least absolute deviation
EIA	US Energy Information Administration

List of Figures

Fig. 1.1 Expected path of social, economic, environmental and economic variables between 1990 and 2100 2

Fig. 2.1 The equations in the *upper* right show the items in the radiation balance of the Earth System. In *yellow* displays the components of the radiant energy from the sun. In *red* shows long-wave radiation emitted by the Earth due to the temperature reached by it. The 40 W/m^2 “pierce” the atmosphere and pass directly in space thanks to a “window” transparent to that frequency band. The natural warming effect of the planet issues from 333 W/m^2 that GHG reflect on the surface that emitted them 9

Fig. 2.2 Radiation emitted and absorbed by the Earth’s surface and from the atmosphere. The first strip shows the frequency spectra (wavelengths) of radiation emitted by the Sun and the Earth. The second strip reports the total absorption spectrum and the spread of radiation operated by the various components of the atmosphere. The third strip shows the absorption-diffusion spectra attributable to each of the above components. Note the primary role of water vapor and that of carbon dioxide. The latter closes the “window” relative to the frequencies of greater intensity of the emission spectrum of the Earth 10

Fig. 2.3 Some examples of solar irradiation cycles and energy variation due to natural phenomena. Note the scale factor between the first graph and the other three. In the first of the three graphs it is cyclical trends that develop hundreds of thousands of years. The other three describe decennial phenomena. The first graph shows temperature anomalies due to small variations in Earth’s orbit.

These latter determine the sequence of ice ages (of longer duration) and interglacial eras (of shorter duration). The second graph shows temperature anomalies due to the periodic warming of Pacific Ocean waters (ENSO), with an irregular period of about 3–7 years. The third graph shows well-known cycles of 11-year period attributed to the activity of the surface of the sun. Finally, the fourth graph shows the anomalies observed in the few large volcanic eruptions 12

Fig. 2.4 Partial block diagram of a feedback system. In this scheme, a part of the output signal back to entrance treated by the feedback block. The composition between the variation of the signal I and the feedback signal BO', determines the stability or instability of the whole system 13

Fig. 2.5 Scaled representation of the Earth System. It can be noted that the thickness ranging from the deepest point of the oceans to the height of the stratosphere (*dark blue*) is 71 km away. Within this thickness occur all thermodynamic phenomena that lead to balance the thermal balance of the system itself 16

Fig. 2.6 Composite reconstruction of the Earth surface temperatures from 1000 to 2000 A.D., according to various methods and authors reported in the caption. The measures relate to the northern hemisphere, and for some series are shown with outline *gradient*, the confidence intervals. All projections converge on one conclusion: since mid-eighteenth century the temperature downward trend stops, until to reach, at the end of the twentieth century, temperatures never observed in previous years (1300–1700) 17

Fig. 2.7 Observations regarding atmospheric concentration of the main GHG: carbon dioxide (CO₂, *green*), methane (CH₄, *yellow*) and nitrous oxide (N₂O, *red*). The solid lines relate to the observations made directly into the atmosphere, while the points values are deducted from ice cores 17

Fig. 3.1 Committed and disbursed funding in terms of financing type 34

Fig. 3.2 Committed and disbursed funding following the World Bank's income classification of recipient countries 36

Fig. 3.3 Incidence of disbursement on pledges 37

Fig. 3.4 Geographic distribution of commitment and disbursement 39

Fig. 3.5 Flow of climate finance for the year 2010. The *red* circles indicate the donors and the radius are proportional to the

share of funds disbursed expressed as ratio on total climate aids; the *green* circles, represent the recipient developing countries and the radius are proportional to the share of fund received on total disbursement. The *gradient* of colored countries from straw *yellow* to *green* indicates EPI Index (from lower to cleaner countries) 39

Fig. 3.6 Flow of climate finance for the year 2010 with focus on European countries. The *red* circles indicate the donors and the radius are proportional to the share of funds disbursed expressed as ratio on total climate aids; the *green* circles, represent the recipient developing countries and the radius are proportional to the share of fund received on total disbursement. The *gradient* of colored countries from straw *yellow* to *green* indicates EPI Index (from lower to cleaner countries) 40

Fig. 3.7 Number of treated and untreated countries on the basis of quartiles of GHG emission distribution 42

Fig. 3.8 Climate funds received by developing countries 45

Fig. 3.9 Disbursement and environmental performance of recipients 46

Fig. 3.10 Disbursement and development of recipients 47

Fig. 5.1 Comparison between environmental pollution index obtained with equal (CI_{gm}) and PCA (CI_{acp}) weighting schemes 68

Fig. 5.2 Histograms of Environmental Pollution Index (EPI) in country groups 69

Fig. 5.3 (a) – (j) Quantile coefficient plots
 The plots show the estimated coefficients for all different quantiles for the two estimated quantile regression model that involves flow of funds for the biosphere protection and those destined to power generation and renewable sources, respectively. The figures from *a* to *j* present, respectively, the coefficients $\beta_0(\alpha)$ (Constant), $\beta_1(\alpha)$ (Amount of funds), $\beta_2(\alpha)$ (% of female population), $\beta_3(\alpha)$ (energy intensity), $\beta_4(\alpha)$ (oil supply), $\beta_5(\alpha)$ (share of fossil generation), $\beta_6(\alpha)$ (share of non-hydroelectric generation), $\beta_7(\alpha)$ (Gross Domestic Product (in logarithmic scale)), $\beta_8(\alpha)$ (energy consumption (in logarithmic scale)) and $\beta_9(\alpha)$ (% access to electricity) for 99 different quantiles ($\alpha \in \{0.01, \dots, 0.99\}$) for the full regression model. The respective values are connected as a the *dashed line* (for the biosphere protection flow) and *dotted line* (power generation and renewable sources funds); the *grey shading* indicates the 95th point-wise confidence intervals about the coefficients, with the least squares result added as a horizontal *dotted* and *dashed line*, respectively. Note that there is an additional solid line at zero 72

List of Tables

Table 3.1	Ranking of the donor countries that are sorted in descending order according to the total amount of donated aids and the main recipient to whom the funds are destined. The column “Donor/GT” represents the share of resources of donor on the total amount of funds disbursed by all developed countries that joined to Climate Finance while “Recipient/GT” shows the share of recipient’s received resources on the total amount of funds disbursed. Similarly, the column “Donor/GT_donor” shows the share of financed resources by donor towards its main beneficiary on the total amount of funds disbursed by donor, similarly for the column “Recipient/GT_recipient” it was calculated the share of allocated funds from donor in the total received aids	41
Table 4.1	Compatibility between aggregation and weighting methods	54
Table 5.1	Data: Definitions, descriptive statistics and sources	63
Table 5.2	Hypothesis test for difference in mean in electricity consumption and share of fossil generation between receiving and not receiving countries	66
Table 5.3	Hypothesis test for difference in means of Environmental Pollution Index (EPI) between receiving and not receiving countries	68
Table 5.4	OLS and quantile regression results for total disbursements	74
Table 5.5	OLS and quantile regression results for energy aid	75
Table 5.6	OLS and quantile regression results for biosphere protection aid	76