

Mohan Bhatia

Banking 4.0

The Industrialised Bank of Tomorrow

 Springer

Contents

1	Industrialise and Innovate to Deliver Banking 4.0 Services	1
1.1	Introduction: Evolution of Banking	1
1.1.1	Banking 1.0: System of Record on Mainframe-Enabled Business Model	1
1.1.2	Banking 2.0: System of Record on 4GL Technology-Enabled Business Model	1
1.1.3	Banking 3.0: Self-Service for Payments-Enabled Business Model	2
1.2	Major Shortcomings of Banking 3.0	3
1.2.1	Third-Generation Banks Invested in Self-service Rather Than Delivering Digital Experience	3
1.2.2	Third-generation Banks Are Under-invested in the Back Office and Mid Office	4
1.2.3	Third-generation Banks Are All-pervasive With Data and Application Duplication	4
1.2.4	Inability to Create a Business Case for Technology Investment	5
1.3	Banking 4.0: Services Delivered by Tomorrow's Industrialised Bank	6
1.3.1	The Banking 4.0 Vision Statement	6
1.3.2	The Industrialised Bank of Tomorrow	9
1.3.3	Delivering Digital Experience to Customers, Partners, and Employees	11
1.4	The Six Engines of Industrialisation and Innovation	15
1.4.1	Technology Investments	15
1.4.2	Deliver Digital Experience	15
1.4.3	Embedded Innovation	16
1.4.4	Intelligent Process and Technology	16
1.4.5	Industrialised Data	16
1.4.6	Banking AI As a Service	17
1.5	Conclusion—Banking 4.0 Is an Iterative Process	17

- 2 Tactical Approach to the Industrialisation 19**
 - 2.1 Introduction—Manual Tasks in Banking Processes 19
 - 2.1.1 The Genesis of Manual Processes 19
 - 2.1.2 Legacy Applications Use GUI for Data Capture 20
 - 2.1.3 15–20% of Employees at Banks Manage Data
and Documents for Credit Management 20
 - 2.1.4 15–20% of Employees at Banks Manage Financial
Crimes Prevention Data 21
 - 2.1.5 5–10% of Employees at Banks Manage Data
for Financial Risk and Regulatory Reporting 22
 - 2.2 Automation of Manual Tasks Versus Industrialisation
of the Bank 22
 - 2.2.1 Third-Generation Technology Automates High
Volume, Low Complexity Manual Tasks 22
 - 2.2.2 Robotic Process Automation (RPA) Automates
Low Volume Low Complexity Manual Tasks 23
 - 2.2.3 Machines at Industrialised Bank Manage High
Volume High Complexity Processes 23
 - 2.2.4 To Industrialise the Bank—Across the Valley
of Investment, Innovation, and Alignment 24
 - 2.2.5 Preliminary Approach to Legacy Application
Management Through RPA 26
 - 2.2.6 Tactical Approach to Legacy Application
Modernisation 26
 - 2.3 Robotic Process Automation (RPA) Automates Manual
Tasks 27
 - 2.3.1 RPA Tool Automates Granular Tasks 27
 - 2.3.2 Case Study: Survey of Commercially Available
RPA Tools for Capabilities to Automate a Banking
Process 28
 - 2.3.3 Case Study: RPA Delivers Suboptimal Benefits 31
 - 2.3.4 Case Study: Automate the Process and Not
the Tasks 32
 - 2.3.5 Automation in Handling Unstructured Data Needs
Cognitive Capabilities 34
 - 2.3.6 Cognitive Process Automation Is Contextual
to the Banking Process 35
 - 2.3.7 Case Study: Dictionary and Domain Covered 35
 - 2.3.8 Cognitive Computing Bots Available on Cloud
Platforms 35
 - 2.3.9 Case Study: Cloud-Provided Bots 35
 - 2.3.10 Case Study: Pre-built Integration and Connector
Services 36
 - 2.3.11 Industrialisation of Bots Through Orchestration
on Cloud 36

- 2.3.12 State Bank of India YONO Case Study—Digital Retail Banking Platform Embedding Automation into the Repurposed Legacy Applications 38
- 2.4 Conclusion—Leverage Industrialisation Engines for Tactical Realisation of Automation Benefits 39
- 3 Investing in Alignment of Industrialisation and Innovation Engines 41**
 - 3.1 Introduction—By Default Industrialisation and Innovation Engines Are Never Aligned 41
 - 3.1.1 Misaligned Engines Start Accumulating Technical Debt 42
 - 3.2 Build-Up of Technical Debt 43
 - 3.2.1 Technical Debt Built up Due to Factors Beyond the Control of the Bank 43
 - 3.2.2 Technical Debt Built up Due to the Decisions and Choices Made by the Bank 44
 - 3.2.3 Technical Debt Build-Up Creates Existential Level Questions for the Bank 46
 - 3.3 Managing Technical Debt 47
 - 3.3.1 Case Study: UBS Has Budgeted to Spend 10% of Revenue on Technology 47
 - 3.3.2 Empirical Study of Managing Technical Debt at 12 Banks 47
 - 3.4 Adopting Industrialisation and Innovation Engine Alignment Framework to Manage Technical Debt 49
 - 3.4.1 The Project-Based Approach to Managing Technology Debt 50
 - 3.4.2 Establish a Bank-Wide Vision for Industrialisation and Innovation 51
 - 3.4.3 Mapping the Project-Based Approach to Industrialisation and Innovation Engine Framework 52
 - 3.5 Managing the Alignment Process 54
 - 3.5.1 Continuously Rediscover Banking 4.0 Vision 54
 - 3.5.2 Promote and Adopt Banking Technology Standards 55
 - 3.5.3 Build Banking AI for the Bank 55
 - 3.5.4 Adopt ISO 20022 Standards Internally in the Bank for Every Data and Process 56
 - 3.5.5 Augment IT Governance to Encourage, Enable, and Enforce Paying off Technical Debt 56
 - 3.5.6 Make Technology All-Pervasive 57
 - 3.5.7 Continuously Benchmark Innovation 57

- 3.6 Conclusion—Continuously Rediscover, Benchmark, and Align 60
- 4 Industrialising Data 61**
 - 4.1 Introduction—Changed Data Requirements at Banks 61
 - 4.1.1 Deliver Digital Experience in Real Time 61
 - 4.1.2 Process High Volumes of Data 62
 - 4.2 Key Indicators of Data Industrialisation 65
 - 4.2.1 Aligned Industrialisation and Innovation Engines with Data Industrialisation Engines 66
 - 4.2.2 Deliver Data in a Managed Service Mode 66
 - 4.2.3 Better Certainty in Data Service Delivery 66
 - 4.2.4 Deliver Greater Consistency and Accuracy in Models and Analytics 66
 - 4.2.5 Deliver Industrialised Model and Analytics Development, Training and Deployment 67
 - 4.2.6 Manage All Data Types 67
 - 4.2.7 Deliver Conversational Banking at Scale 67
 - 4.2.8 Better Data Governance 67
 - 4.2.9 Industrialised Data Privacy Compliance 68
 - 4.2.10 Empowered Business Managers 68
 - 4.3 Industrialise Data Integration 68
 - 4.3.1 Convergence of Data Preparation and Data Integration Tools 69
 - 4.3.2 Industrialise Data Preparation 69
 - 4.3.3 Data Wrangling for Machine Learning Models 70
 - 4.3.4 Case Study: Google Cloud Data Wrangling Tool 70
 - 4.3.5 Industrialised Data Preparation Tools Are Embedded with Statistical and Visualisation Capabilities 71
 - 4.3.6 Case Study: Google Cloud Datalab Tool to Explore, Analyse, Transform, and Visualise Data for Building Machine Learning Models 71
 - 4.3.7 Case Study: BigQuery Geospatial Visualisation Techniques 72
 - 4.3.8 Embedding Geospatial Visualisation into Applications Empowers Business Managers 72
 - 4.3.9 Case Study: Data Stream Processing Tools on Google Cloud 72
 - 4.3.10 Embed Data Integration into Applications 73
 - 4.3.11 Case Study: Building a Data Pipeline to Migrate Data to BigQuery 73
 - 4.4 Cloud Data Platform as the Data Industrialisation and Innovation Engine 73
 - 4.4.1 Cloud Data Platform Optimises Data Integration 74

- 4.4.2 Cloud Data Platform Augments Data Storage 74
- 4.5 Case Study—Cloud Data Platform 76
 - 4.5.1 Case Study: Google Cloud Data Platform High-Level Architecture 76
 - 4.5.2 Data Ingestion and Integration Tools from GCP, AWS, and Azure 76
 - 4.5.3 Building and Orchestrating Data Pipelines on GCP, AWS, and Azure 77
 - 4.5.4 Data Search on Data Catalog Capabilities on GCP, AWS, and Azure 77
 - 4.5.5 SQL Data Modules on GCP, AWS, and Azure 78
 - 4.5.6 NoSQL Data Capabilities in GCP, AWS, Azure 78
 - 4.5.7 Case Study: BigQuery—Industrialised Big Data on Cloud 79
 - 4.5.8 Data Storage on GCP, AWS, and Azure 80
 - 4.5.9 Analytics and Visualisation on GCP, AWS, and Azure Platform 80
 - 4.5.10 AI Machine Learning and AI as a Service Platform on GCP, AWS, and Azure 81
- 4.6 Industrialise Data Catalog to Deliver Data Governance 82
 - 4.6.1 Case Study: Data Catalog to Implement Enterprise Data Governance Policy 82
 - 4.6.2 Data Catalog as a Tool for Data Governance 82
 - 4.6.3 Deliver Re-Usable Tag Templates to Build Consistency in Technical and Business Contexts 83
 - 4.6.4 Implement Enterprise-Wide Data Governance on Data Catalog 85
 - 4.6.5 Tag Metadata at Data Asset Hierarchies 85
 - 4.6.6 Establishing Business Lineage to Empower Business Managers 86
 - 4.6.7 Industrialise the Data Quality Process 87
- 4.7 The Future of Data Services 87
 - 4.7.1 Case Study: Monitor Customer Behaviour in Real Time 87
 - 4.7.2 Case Study: An Investment Bank Creating and Selling Thousands of Insightful Datasets Every Year 88
 - 4.7.3 Case Study: The BBVA Bank Data Lab 89
- 4.8 Conclusion: Industrialised Data Enable Better Alignment of Industrialisation Engines 89
- 5 Deliver Digital Experience 91**
 - 5.1 Introduction: Digital Banking 91
 - 5.2 Deliver Digital Experience of Banking 4.0 Services 93
 - 5.2.1 Digital Customer Experience 93

- 5.2.2 Deliver Digital Experience in the Front Office 96
- 5.2.3 Industrialised Front Office 97
- 5.2.4 Deliver Digital Experience in the Back Office 98
- 5.2.5 Industrialised Back Office 99
- 5.2.6 Deliver Banking 4.0 Services by Integrating
the Industrialised Back Office
with the Industrialised Front Office 99
- 5.3 Hyper-Personalisation is the Foundation for Deliver
the Digital Customer Experience 101
 - 5.3.1 Personalisation of the Digital Customer
Experience Has Matured at Banks 102
 - 5.3.2 Hyper-personalisation to Deliver Digital
Experience 104
- 5.4 BIAN Framework to Design Application Modernisation 107
 - 5.4.1 Case Study: The BIAN Framework 108
 - 5.4.2 BIAN Framework Helps to Build Consistency
and Reusability into Microservices 109
 - 5.4.3 BIAN Framework Helps in Identifying Wrappers
to Modernize Underlying Applications 110
 - 5.4.4 BIAN Framework to Build Microservices 110
 - 5.4.5 BIAN as Standard for Designing Microservices 111
 - 5.4.6 BIAN Service Domain as a Container 111
 - 5.4.7 Standardised Integration 112
 - 5.4.8 Standardised SLA and Intelligence 112
 - 5.4.9 BIAN Delegated Service is a Framework to Build
Agility 112
- 5.5 Modernise Applications to Deliver Digital Experience 116
 - 5.5.1 Three Approaches to Application Modernisation 116
 - 5.5.2 Aims of Application Modernisation 118
 - 5.5.3 Scoping an Application Modernisation Program
to Deliver an Industrialised Bank 120
- 5.6 Application Modernisation Through Microservice-Based
Architecture 120
 - 5.6.1 A Microservice Is Small Enough to Enable
Agility, It Is Large Enough to Deliver Business
functions 121
 - 5.6.2 The Microservice Has to Be Agile, Flexible,
Resilient, and Quickly Adjustable to Customer
and Market Realities 121
 - 5.6.3 Microservice Design Builds Agility
into the Banking Business 121
 - 5.6.4 Core Benefits of Microservice Architecture 122
 - 5.6.5 Case Study: Microservice Architecture
for Regulatory Reporting 122

- 5.6.6 Applications Fit for the Adoption of Microservice Design 123
- 5.7 Industrialisation of Microservices 125
 - 5.7.1 Manage Version Control of Codebase to Re-use Code Repository 125
 - 5.7.2 Managing Dependency on Another Library or Package 125
 - 5.7.3 Separation of Configuration from Code 125
 - 5.7.4 Backing Services 126
 - 5.7.5 Managing the Services Version 126
 - 5.7.6 Segregate Process from the State 126
 - 5.7.7 Port Binding 127
 - 5.7.8 Scale-Out 127
 - 5.7.9 Disposability 127
 - 5.7.10 Development Production Parity 127
 - 5.7.11 Treat Logs as Event Streams 127
 - 5.7.12 Run Admin Tasks as a One-off 128
- 5.8 Conclusion: Deliver Digital Experience by Modernising Applications 128
- 6 Cloud Adoption: A Foundational Engine 129**
 - 6.1 Introduction: Cloud adoption—A Foundational Engine 129
 - 6.1.1 Cloud Adoption Lays Foundation for Industrialisation and Innovation at the Bank 130
 - 6.1.2 Iterative Cloud Adoption Process Aligns Other Engines 131
 - 6.2 Cloud-Native Applications (CNAs) Designed to Deliver Business Outcomes 133
 - 6.2.1 Cloud-Native Applications Are Modular 133
 - 6.2.2 Cloud-Native Applications Leverage APIs as an Integration Tool 133
 - 6.2.3 Containerisation Makes Cloud-Native Applications Horizontally Scalable 133
 - 6.2.4 Cloud-Native Applications Enable Continuous Deployment 134
 - 6.2.5 Cloud-Native Applications Are Built-On Reusable Components 134
 - 6.2.6 Cloud-Native Applications—Designed to Deliver Business Outcomes 134
 - 6.2.7 BIAN Is a Design Template Used to Build Cloud-Native Applications 134
 - 6.3 Managing Risks in the Cloud 135

- 6.3.1 Security Design and Architecture at Banks Must Complement the Security and Privacy Posture Provided by CSPs 135
- 6.3.2 Continuous and Real-Time Control and Risk Monitoring by the Bank and CSP 136
- 6.3.3 Clearly Defining and Action Items and Contractual Terms 136
- 6.4 Conclusion—Cloud Adoption Enables Alignment of Other Engines 145
- 7 Industrialise to Manage Changes in the External Environment 147**
 - 7.1 Introduction 147
 - 7.1.1 An Inside-Out View of the Industrialisation of Banks 147
 - 7.1.2 Outside-In View of the Industrialisation of Banks 148
 - 7.1.3 Banks not Industrialising Are Likely to Lose Market Share 149
 - 7.2 Digital ID Creates Trust in the Marketplace 149
 - 7.2.1 Data Coverage of Digital ID 149
 - 7.2.2 Digital ID Builds Trusted Marketplaces 150
 - 7.2.3 Digital ID Delivers Industrialisation 151
 - 7.2.4 Case Study: Aadhar ID Has Industrialised Customer Identification and Authentication 151
 - 7.3 Industrialise to Deliver Innovation as BAU 152
 - 7.3.1 Case Study: PNC Bank, USA Is Industrialising to Embrace Innovation 152
 - 7.3.2 Case Study: To Embrace Innovation UBS Is Replacing 20% of the Application Portfolio 153
 - 7.3.3 An Illustrative List of Innovation Vectors on the Industrialisation Foundation 153
 - 7.3.4 Industrialising the Processing of Petabytes of Data Added Every Year 153
 - 7.3.5 Blockchain Platform for Cross-Border Commerce, Payment, Banking, Clearing, and Settlement 153
 - 7.3.6 Integrating Alternative Data Sources to Improve the Predictive Power of Models 154
 - 7.3.7 ML Models to Enhance the Discriminatory Power of Credit Risk Models 154
 - 7.3.8 Cloud Technologies Moving to the Mainstream 155
 - 7.3.9 The Level of Disruption and Innovation May Vary Across the Product Portfolio 155
 - 7.4 Industrialise to Manage Low-Interest Rate Regimes 156
 - 7.4.1 Create Scale Through Industrialisation to Service the Cost of Capital Under Low-Interest Rate Regimes 156

- 7.4.2 Low-Interest Rate and Bank Profitability Regimes
with High Disruptable Business Segments 156
- 7.4.3 Skill Distribution at Industrialised Banks 156
- 7.5 Industrialise to Engage Regulators 157
 - 7.5.1 Technology Is Breaking Down the Barriers
to Collaborate with Regulators 157
 - 7.5.2 The Regulator Has the Dream of Monitoring
Every Transaction in an Economy 158
 - 7.5.3 Regulators Are Digitising: A Vision Statement
on Digitisation by Ravi Menon, MAS MD While
Establishing the Data Analytics Group (DAG) 158
 - 7.5.4 Build Agility to Incorporate Regulatory Mandates
on the Use of Machine Learning Models in Banking ... 158
- 7.6 Industrialise Risk, Finance, and Compliance 159
 - 7.6.1 Containing Risk, Finance, and Compliance
Annual Costs to Within 10–25 Basis Points
of Assets 159
 - 7.6.2 Building a Banking Ontology, Data Dictionaries,
and Data Taxonomies to Manage Voluminous
Data Through Semantics and Machine Learning 159
 - 7.6.3 The Financial Industry Business Ontology (FIBO) 159
 - 7.6.4 The ISO 20022 Data Model with Business
Concepts 160
 - 7.6.5 Managing and Monitoring Changes in Credit
Risk: Credit Risk 3.0 160
 - 7.6.6 Technology and External Data Is Available Now 160
 - 7.6.7 Monitoring High-Frequency Indicators of Change
in Credit Risk 161
 - 7.6.8 Monitoring Theme-Based Actionables and Alerts 163
 - 7.6.9 Operational Risk Management 3.0 164
 - 7.6.10 Elevated Regulatory Mandates to Manage
Non-financial Risks Need ORM 3.0 165
 - 7.6.11 Forward-Looking Automated Risk and Control
Assessment 166
 - 7.6.12 An Illustrative List of Forward-Looking Risk
and Control Monitoring 167
 - 7.6.13 Capability of Monitoring, Preventing,
and Recovering from a Cyber Attack 168
- 7.7 Conclusion: Banks Need to Industrialise to Manage
and Exploit the Changes in the External Environment 168
- 8 Platform Banking Business 169**
 - 8.1 Introduction 169
 - 8.1.1 Banking Customers and Partners Have Shifted
Their Residence to the Cloud and the Marketplace 169

- 8.1.2 Industrialisation Enables a Bank to Reach Its Customers and Partners in the Cloud and Marketplace 169
- 8.1.3 Platform Banking Helps Participation in the Marketplace 170
- 8.1.4 Platform Banking Helps Banks to Become Technology Firms 170
- 8.1.5 Case Study: Capital One Has Created a Vision and Implemented a Strategy to Become a Technology Firm 170
- 8.1.6 Case Study: DBS Aspires to Be in the League of Big Tech Players like Google and Facebook 171
- 8.2 Platform Banking Is the New Capital for Banks 171
 - 8.2.1 Develop a Strategy to Build Platforms and not Implement Projects 171
 - 8.2.2 Innovative Banking Technology Is Disrupting Marketplaces 172
- 8.3 Platform Banking Business 173
 - 8.3.1 Case Study: DBS Distributes Manulife Products 174
 - 8.3.2 Case Study: Citi Launches IKEA Family Credit Card in Partnership with Ikea 174
 - 8.3.3 Platform Business Case Studies 175
- 8.4 Replicate the Big Tech Business Model 178
 - 8.4.1 Innovation Is the New Oxygen for Banks 178
 - 8.4.2 Innovate to Disrupt Cost and Build Non-interest Revenue Streams 178
 - 8.4.3 Cost to Income Ratio as an Indicator of How Far the Bank Is from Becoming a Tech Firm 179
 - 8.4.4 An Illustrative List of Innovations Being Pursued by Banks 179
 - 8.4.5 Banks Are Discovering Data Is the New Oil 180
 - 8.4.6 Case Study: Digital Investment Bank of the Future as Data Provider to the Customer 180
 - 8.4.7 Embedding the Bank in the Customer Journey 181
 - 8.4.8 Case Study: Innovative and Differentiated Value Propositions for SME and Commercial Customers 181
 - 8.4.9 Number of Banking Platforms as an Indicator of the Industrialisation of a Bank 182
- 8.5 APIs Are the Building Blocks of the Platform Banking Business Model 183
 - 8.5.1 A Platform Banking Business Model Runs on a Low Latency Agile Technology 183
 - 8.5.2 Platform Banking Services Marketplaces Through Published APIs 183

8.5.3	Closed or Internal APIs Industrialise Integration of the Front Office with the Back Office	184
8.5.4	Open APIs: Banks Provide Four Types of APIs	184
8.5.5	Typical Open APIs Provided by a Mature Bank's API Hub	184
8.5.6	Partner APIs Open only to the pre-selected partner	187
8.5.7	Case Study: Integration with Accounting Software	187
8.5.8	APIs Open to Authorised Members of a Pre-selected Organisation or Community	187
8.5.9	APIs Are Made Available by Registering with the Organisation Subject to Certain Conditions	188
8.5.10	APIs Are Made Available to the Authenticated Parties by Registering with the Organisation	188
8.5.11	APIs Are 24/7 Invitations to Customers and Partners to Consume the Bank's Services	188
8.5.12	Regulatory Mandated Open Banking Versus API Banking	189
8.5.13	Open Banking Is Driven by Regulatory Mandate	189
8.5.14	Open Banking Coverage Varies Widely Across the Jurisdiction	189
8.5.15	Open Banking APIs May not Be an Indicator of the Industrialisation of the Bank	190
8.5.16	API Banking	190
8.5.17	Mutual Contracts Drive API Banking	190
8.5.18	Case Study: BBVA Open Platforms Provide API Banking	190
8.5.19	Open APIs Are not Standardised	191
8.5.20	Currently, Banks Use Disparate, Bank-Specific Formats, and Definitions for APIs	191
8.5.21	Case Study: The Working of the API Register of the Monetary Authority of Singapore	191
8.5.22	Risks in Open API	192
8.5.23	Regulatory Framework to Manage Data Shared Through APIs	192
8.5.24	Open API Regulatory Technical Standards for Stronger Customer Authentication and Secured Sharing	193
8.5.25	Increased Cyber Risk Management Due to API Provision at Banks	193
8.6	Blockchain Platforms Are Disrupting the Revenue Model of Banks	194
8.6.1	Blockchain is an Emerging Technology for Building Immutability and Trust	194
8.6.2	Players in the Blockchain Business Model	194

- 8.6.3 Blockchain Business Model 195
- 8.6.4 Case Study: Trade Finance Platforms
on Blockchain 195
- 8.7 Conclusion: Platform Banking for New Revenue Streams 196
- 9 APIs Are the Public Persona of an Industrialised Bank 197**
- 9.1 Introduction:—APIs Industrialise Integration
and Collaboration with Customers and Partners 197
- 9.2 Designing APIs 198
 - 9.2.1 API Business Model Drives the API Design 198
 - 9.2.2 API Monetisation Strategy Drives the API Design 198
 - 9.2.3 Legacy Application Wrapper APIs 200
 - 9.2.4 Process APIs 200
 - 9.2.5 Experience APIs 201
- 9.3 Developing APIs 201
 - 9.3.1 Deliver integration with a very large number
of endpoints 201
 - 9.3.2 Agile Integration 201
 - 9.3.3 Stateless API to make Integration Scalable 201
 - 9.3.4 Deployment in Seconds 202
 - 9.3.5 Continuous Integration 202
 - 9.3.6 Easier Retirement 202
 - 9.3.7 Minimum Interdependencies 202
 - 9.3.8 Decentralised API Development Teams 202
 - 9.3.9 Leveraging Automated Development Tools 203
 - 9.3.10 Consistent and Standardised API Design
and Architecture Framework 203
 - 9.3.11 Deployment of APIs 203
 - 9.3.12 Discoverable REST APIs 204
 - 9.3.13 Agile API Operationalisation 204
- 9.4 APIs Are the Public Persona of the Bank 204
 - 9.4.1 APIs Across the Two Banks Are Different 205
 - 9.4.2 Establish API Gateway to Manage APIs 205
 - 9.4.3 Functions of an API Gateway 206
 - 9.4.4 API Gateway Enables Internal Multi-Tenancy
and Decentralised Ownership 206
 - 9.4.5 Federated API Gateway to Build Consistency
Across the Business Units of the Bank 207
 - 9.4.6 Building API Security Blocks 207
- 9.5 Publish APIs 208
 - 9.5.1 Case Study—APIs as a Tool to Deliver Digital
Experience at DBS 209
 - 9.5.2 The Cost of Building APIs is the Major Challenge
for Smaller Banks 210

- 9.6 Conclusion: APIs Are the Tool to Disrupt the Business Model of the Bank 211
- 10 BIAN Framework to Build Banking AI and Semantic APIs 213**
 - 10.1 Introduction: Developing Banking AI 213
 - 10.1.1 Existing AI Platforms Are Incapable of Learning Banking Processes 213
 - 10.1.2 Develop Banking AI to Enhance the Accuracy of AI Systems 214
 - 10.1.3 BIAN Service Domain Structure is a Template to Build Banking AI 214
 - 10.2 BIAN Artefacts Are Benchmarks for Building Banking AI 215
 - 10.2.1 Leverage BIAN Artefacts to Build Banking AI Machines 215
 - 10.2.2 Business Context is the Key to Industrialise Banks 215
 - 10.2.3 BIAN Metamodels as a Benchmark of Banking Process Hierarchies and Process Aggregation 216
 - 10.2.4 BIAN Service Domain Represents a Unique and Exclusive Business Context 216
 - 10.3 ISO 20022 Dictionaries Are Benchmarks for Banking Definition, Processes, and Concepts 217
 - 10.3.1 BIAN is the Benchmark of Business Definition 217
 - 10.3.2 BIAN Leverages a Standardised ISO 20022 Data Model for Products and Processes and Computation 217
 - 10.3.3 ISO 20022 Business Object Model is Extensible 218
 - 10.3.4 BIAN and ISO 20022 Are Extendible 219
 - 10.4 BIAN Service Domain as a Template for Identifying Data Ownership of Microservices and APIs 219
 - 10.4.1 How to Identify Original Data from a Set of Electronic Data? 221
 - 10.4.2 Role of a BIAN Service Domain for the Owned Control Record 221
 - 10.4.3 BIAN Service Domains as a Template to Identify Duplicate Application Functionality in Banks 221
 - 10.4.4 Template to Identify Duplicate Data in the Bank 222
 - 10.5 BIAN Templates 222
 - 10.5.1 BIAN Templates Are Applied to the Deployment 222
 - 10.5.2 BIAN Functional Patterns 223
 - 10.5.3 BIAN Service Operations 224
 - 10.5.4 BIAN Action Terms that Characterize the Purpose of an Offered Service 225
 - 10.5.5 BIAN Action Terms Are the Foundation to Build Semantic APIs 225

- 10.5.6 BIAN Action Terms as a Template for Granularity-Level Decision Making 226
- 10.5.7 An Indicative Proportionality Level of API Granularity 226
- 10.5.8 BIAN is a Benchmark Semantic API and not a Design Standard 227
- 10.5.9 Compliance to BIAN Framework Over Iterative Cycles as a Template for IT Governance and Architecture 228
- 10.6 BIAN Semantic APIs as Templates 228
 - 10.6.1 BIAN Template and Attribute Directory for Control Record Help in Creating Semantic APIs ... 228
 - 10.6.2 BIAN Framework to Build Wrapped/Repurposed Legacy System APIs 229
 - 10.6.3 BIAN Framework to Build Distribution and Customer Experience 229
 - 10.6.4 BIAN Semantic API Examples as a Benchmark 229
 - 10.6.5 Defining Semantic APIs: Learning from 180+ Semantic REST APIs Published by BIAN 230
 - 10.6.6 The Purpose of Semantics is to Consistently Interpret the Nature or Purpose of the Service Domain 230
- 10.7 Conclusion: Building Banking AI by Aligning with BIAN Templates and Benchmarks is an Iterative Journey 231
- 11 Conversational Banking 233**
 - 11.1 Introduction to Conversational Banking 233
 - 11.1.1 Conversational Banking is About Addressing the Limitations of Call Centre and IVR Technology 234
 - 11.1.2 Conversational Banking Requires Establishing Conversational CoE at the Bank 235
 - 11.2 Conversational Banking CoE 236
 - 11.3 Conversational Banking Design Principles 238
 - 11.4 The State of Conversational Technology 243
 - 11.4.1 Case Study: A Virtual Assistant on Amazon Lex 243
 - 11.4.2 Case Study: Azure Cognitive Services 245
 - 11.4.3 Case Study: Kasisto 245
 - 11.5 Conversational Banking Service Use Cases and Intents 245
 - 11.6 Implementing Conversational Banking at a Scale 250
 - 11.6.1 Understanding Existing Conversation Services in the Bank 250
 - 11.6.2 Segment Audience Conversing with Bank 252
 - 11.6.3 Capture Audience Experience in a Database 253
 - 11.6.4 Build Conversational Models 253
 - 11.6.5 Build Models to Personalise Conversations 254

11.6.6	Design Conversations	254
11.6.7	Reimagine Customer Journeys	255
11.7	The Future of Conversational Banking	256
11.7.1	Challenges in Building Conversational Banking	256
11.7.2	Limitation of Conversational Banking Technology	258
11.7.3	Designing Conversational Banking User Interfaces	258
11.7.4	Measuring the Accuracy and Effectiveness of Conversational Banking	259
11.7.5	Calibrate Customer Intent and Financial Obligation Based on Authentication Strength	260
11.7.6	Relative Frequency of Conversational Banking Service Use Cases	260
11.7.7	Success Measures of Conversational Banking	262
11.8	Conclusion: Building Conversational Banking at a Scale	262
12	Banking AI as a Service	265
12.1	Introduction: Banking AI Takes Forward the Industrialisation Agenda	265
12.1.1	Banking AI as a Service is a Basic Building Block in the Industrialisation Agenda	266
12.2	Establish Banking AI CoE	266
12.3	Establish Banking AI Organisation	267
12.3.1	Banks Are Appointing Chief AI Officers	267
12.3.2	Case Study: Chief AI Officer at JPMC	268
12.3.3	Availability of AI Skills is a Major Area of Constraint	268
12.3.4	Case Study: Augmenting AI Capabilities Through the Acquisition of an AI Consulting Firm	268
12.3.5	Case Study: Augmenting AI Capabilities Through Partnerships with Academia	269
12.3.6	Case Study: Augmenting AI Capabilities Through Investment in AI Startups	269
12.3.7	Augmenting the AI Team Through a Training Partnership with Academia	270
12.3.8	Banks Are Collaborating with Cloud Service Providers on AI Initiatives	270
12.3.9	Case Study: HSBC Partners with Element AI	271
12.4	Banking AI as a Service (BAIaaS) on a Cloud Data AI Platform	271
12.4.1	The Development of AI as a Service (AiaaS) for Banks Will Be a Very Long Journey	271
12.4.2	General Purpose AI Platform Capabilities Have Matured in the Past Three Years or so	271
12.4.3	Case Study: GCP AI Platform—A Platform to Provide AI as a Service	272

- 12.4.4 Case Study: General Purpose AI Platform 273
- 12.4.5 AI Services on a General Purpose AI Platform 273
- 12.4.6 Managing Cloud AI Platforms 274
- 12.4.7 Banks Need to Develop Narrow AI Very Specific
to the Banking Process 276
- 12.5 Build a Semantic Technology Foundation for Banking AI 277
 - 12.5.1 ISO 20022 Has Published a Banking Semantic
Dictionary 277
 - 12.5.2 ISO 20022 Dictionary for Banks is
at Three-Level—Semantic, Logical and Physical 278
 - 12.5.3 Further, Augment ISO 20022 279
 - 12.5.4 Context Discovery Drives the Approach
to Building Semantic Technology 279
- 12.6 Building Banking Knowledge Organisation
and Representation 288
 - 12.6.1 Establish Knowledge Architect and Knowledge
Engineer 289
- 12.7 Case Study: Fintech AI Use Cases 290
 - 12.7.1 Robo-Advice 291
 - 12.7.2 Customer Complaints 291
 - 12.7.3 Credit Scoring 292
- 12.8 Conclusion: Banking AI as a Service is not a Technology
Project 293
- 13 Fintech: The Innovation Benchmark 295**
 - 13.1 Fintech 1.0: Wakeup Call for the Banks (2014–2018) 295
 - 13.1.1 Regulators Were in a Dilemma 295
 - 13.2 Fintech 2.0: Challenger to the Banks (2016–2020) 296
 - 13.2.1 Regulatory Sandbox: A Landmark Initiative
Across the Jurisdiction 296
 - 13.2.2 Case Study: RBI Report of the Working Group
on Fintech and Digital Banking 297
 - 13.2.3 Digital Banks as an Extension of Fintechs
to Regulated Banks 297
 - 13.3 Fintech 3.0: Partnering with Banks (2018–2022 Onwards) 297
 - 13.3.1 Fintechs as Technology Partners of Regulated
Entities 298
 - 13.3.2 Most of the Financial Services Firms Are Likely
to Be a White Label in Their Offerings of Fintech
Services and the Services of Other Financial
Services Firms 298
 - 13.3.3 Provision of Business Outcome for Partner Banks
Is Emerging as a Business Model for Technology
Fintechs 299
 - 13.3.4 Fintechs Are Innovation Partners for Banks 299

13.3.5	Disruptive Marketplaces Are Emerging as the Next Bed of Innovation	299
13.3.6	Banks Have a Shortage of AI Skills: AI Fintechs Are Likely to Get Better Traction	299
13.3.7	Case Study: Fintech Upgrading to Become a Financial Services Firm	300
13.4	Fintech 4.0: Level Playing with the Industrialised Banks (2021–)	301
13.4.1	Fintechs Cannot Replace Banks, but They Will Make Banking Better	301
13.4.2	Developing AI/ML Models by Standalone Fintechs Will Be Challenging Going Forward	301
13.4.3	Industrialised Banks Are Becoming Equipped to Compete with Fintechs Even at a Technology Level	301
13.4.4	Regulators Are Establishing a Level Playing Field Between Traditional Financial Services Firms and Fintechs	302
13.4.5	Level Playing Regulation Case Study: Fintech Balance Sheet Lending	303
13.4.6	Level Playing Regulation Case Study: Crowdfunding Platform	303
13.4.7	Case Study: Emerging Regulatory Regimes in China for Fintechs in a Financial Service Business	303
13.4.8	Case Study: In India Digital Lending Is Being Brought Within the Ambit of Banking Regulation	304
13.5	Conclusion: An Industrialised Bank Is Converging with Fintech	304
	Further Reading Sources on the Web	305